From: <u>Crossland, Ronnie</u>
To: <u>Honker, William</u>

Cc: <u>Mason, Steve; R6HarveyInfo; McAteer, Mike; Lyssy, Gregory</u>

Subject: MA issue

Date: Thursday, September 7, 2017 6:27:11 PM

Bill,

I believe there are two options:

Option 1

FEMA Region 6 said EPA should consider having the HQ EOC request their hours be covered by the Federal Operation Support mission assignment they have for staffing the NRCC... this is a HQ mission assignment.

Option 2

If we determine that the HQ is directly supporting the activities in the field under a Mission Assignment, then according to FEMA, it would be allowable for the HQ EOC to charge against the current Region 6 Direct Federal Assistance Mission Assignment. Currently the Mission Assignment has a zero cost share. However, when or if the cost share were to go to 90/10, the State of Texas would then be funding the HQ EOC activities.

This second option could be audited later by FEMA with the potential that disagreed with our directly supporting the activities in the field determination.

Thanks, Ronnie

From: Honker, William

Sent: Thursday, September 07, 2017 6:51 PM

To: Crossland, Ronnie < Crossland.Ronnie@epa.gov>

Subject: MA issue

Can you send me some draft language for a response as we discussed?

Bill

William K. Honker, P.E. Director, Water Division EPA Region 6 1445 Ross Ave., Suite 1200 Dallas, TX 75202 214-665-3187